



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

COPY MAILED

FEB 19 2008

NXP, B.V.
NXP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT
M/S41-SJ
1109 MCKAY DRIVE
SAN JOSE CA 95131

In re Application of :
Chein-Hsin LIN et al. :
Application No. 10/044,876 : **DECISION ON PETITION**
Filed: October 26, 2001 :
Attorney Docket No. **US018143** :

This is a decision on the petition under the unintentional provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed October 08, 2007, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application became abandoned for failure s to timely pay the issue and publication fees on or before August 16, 2006, as required by the Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due, mailed May 16, 2006, which set a statutory period for reply of three (3) months. Accordingly, the application became abandoned on August 17, 2006.

The petition satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) the reply in the form of payment of the issue fee of \$1400 and the publication fee of \$300, (2) the petition fee of \$1540, and (3) a proper statement of unintentional delay.


It is not apparent whether the person signing the statement of unintentional delay was in a position to have firsthand or direct knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue. Nevertheless, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due

date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

There is no indication that the person signing the petition was ever given a power of attorney to prosecute the application. If the person signing the petition desires to receive future correspondence regarding this application, the appropriate power of attorney document must be submitted. While a courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to the person signing the petition, all future correspondence will be directed to the address currently of record until appropriate instructions are received.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Tredelle Jackson at (571) 272-2783.

This application is being referred to Publishing Division for processing into a patent.



David Bucci
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions